EuroT_EX2001 Kerkrade

Usage of MathML for paper and web publishing

Tobias Burnus



The pre-MathML situation

T_EX Word processors and DTP programs



$T_E X$

This is the method used by the most (all) of those sitting here. Good:

Easy to type in

Wide spread

Optimal quality

Can be easily shared as PDF

Bad:

The $T_{E\!X}$ code is not very standardised due to di $\,$ erent packages and ${\tt \sc MT_E\!X2E}$ vs. ${\tt ConT_E\!Xt}$

Can't be validated



Ugly:

 $T_{\!E\!} X$ to HTML output using Images creates big, unreadable and not postprocessable files



Word processors and DTP programs

Good:

```
WYSIWG (well, nearly)
```

If you like clicking it is easy to create (or you have to learn yet another math language as in OpenO ce)

Bad:

You cannot pre- or postprocess it due to changing, binary and proprrietorial format

Ugly:

Frequently sub-optimal quality

Web output has the same problems as T_{EX} (if there exists an converter)



The brave new world

Promises Presentational MathML Content MathML



Promises

The World Wide Web Consortium says that those goals are met by MathML (excerpt):

Encode mathematical material suitable for teaching and scientific communication at all levels.

Encode both mathematical notation and mathematical meaning.

Facilitate conversion to and from other mathematical formats, both presentational and semantic.

Be well suited to template and other mathematics editing techniques.

Be human legible, and simple for software to generate and process.

But how does a language defined in such a way look like :::



MathML tiny sample

Let's show how a simple formula in written in MathML. *We* T_EX ies write a mathematical formula as:

```
\int a^b f(x) \\ \{ rm d \} x = F(b) - F(a)
```

The mathematicians write it in these strange hieroglyphs:

```
Z<sub>b</sub>
fxdx Fb Fa
a
```

And in MathML :::



Presentational MathML

In presentation markup of MathMLit looks like this:

<nath>

<mrow>

<mrow>

```
<msubsup><mo>&int; </mo><mi>a</mi></mo></mo></mo>
<mrow><mi>f</mi><mo>&ApplyFunction; </mo>
<mo>(</mo>
</mo>
</mo>
</mo>
```

<mo>=</mo>



```
<mov>
```

In a more structured way :::



Content MathML

More beautiful the content markup:

<nath>

```
<apply><eq/>
<apply><int/>
<apply><int/>
<bvar><ci>x</ci></bvar>
<lowlinit><ci>a</ci></lowlinit>
<uplinit><ci>b</ci></uplinit>
<apply><fn><ci>f</ci></fn><ci>x</ci></apply>
</apply>
```

```
<apply><ni nus/>
<apply><ni nus/>
<apply><fn><ci>F</ci></fn><ci>b</ci></apply>
<apply><fn><ci>F</ci></fn><ci>a</ci></apply>
</apply>
```





Why content markup is that cool

Directives to change the layout



Directives to change the layout

The nice part of the encoding of meaning is that one can change the layout easily. Let's take this long fraction:

```
<mth>
<apply><approx/>
<apply><sin/><ci>x</ci><apply><divide/>
<ci>x</ci><ci>x</ci><apply><divide/><ci>x</co></apply><divide/><cn>1</cn>
<apply><divide/><cn>1</cn></apply>
</apply>
</apply>
</apply>
</apply>
</mth>
```



<?context-mthml-directive divide level 0?> <?context-mthml-directive function reduction no?>



Usage

Why is presentational MathML used? Other MathML renderers: Mozilla



Why is presentational MathML used?

Almost all programs which can write MathML files use presentational MathML.

This brings us to the question who produces MathML:

Mathematica. I tried 4.0 and it produces a wild HTML+MathML mixture (4.01 should be better)

Maple 6 / Maple 7 (untried, Maple V R5.5 doesn't)

OpenO ce/StarO ce 6: Not very well but you guess that it will work in the final release

Úmega. This is the natural way to produce MathML files which then will be processed by $T_{E}X$



Other MathML renderers: Mozilla

From the list on the MathML page at the World Wide Web Consortium you can see that there are not many programs which can render MathML, the number of those creating it is much higher.

MathML impressions:

For HTML+MathML you need a special header (DOCTYPE) which is not standard conform and crashes some other MathML renderers

It is not enabled by default in Mozilla

Is has font problems: You need to have certain fonts installed

It has still some problems with Content MathML



Conclusions

The Good The Bad The Ugly



The Good

It is becoming a standard and $T_{\!E\!}\!X$ can use it

Content markup allows you to setup the rendering in a consistent way

Presentational markup is very easy to create by a software

You can include literal T_EX using annotations



The Bad

The documentation: It is not very clear and has some bugs in it

The presentation markup is frequently rather complicated and long

You need (and can) good) intermix the content and presentation module

Viewers and editors are not widely spread



The Ugly

The test cases on W3C are not only frequently contradictionual to the specification but also to other test cases in the same group – That is not only ugly but also really BAD.

